

Counterexamples about Infinitely Generated Modules over Commutative Rings

March 27, 2022

This post is about examples of some nice things that could fail for modules that are not finitely generated (or not finitely presented when the ring is not Noetherian).

1 Nakayama's Lemma (Krull's Intersection Theorem)

Proposition 1.1 (Krull's Intersection Theorem). *Let A be a commutative ring, $M \neq 0$ a finitely generated A -module and I an ideal of A such that $M = IM$, then $\exists 0 \neq a \in I$ such that $(1 - a)M = 0$. (Note that we do assume)*

Proof. Let $M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. Since $IM = M$, there exists $a_{ij} \in I$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n \\ &\dots \\ x_n &= a_{n1}x_1 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$(a_{11} - 1)x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$(a_{21} - 1)x_1 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = 0 \quad (2)$$

...

$$a_{n1}x_1 + \dots + (a_{nn} - 1)x_n = 0 \quad (n)$$

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} - 1 & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that

equation (1) \times the cofactor of $(a_{11} - 1)$ + equation (2) \times the cofactor of a_{21} + \dots + equation (n) \times the cofactor of a_{n1} = $\det A \cdot x_1 = 0$.

Similarly, we have $\det A \cdot x_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\det A = 1 - a$ for some $a \in I$, we have $\det A \cdot M = (1 - a) \cdot M = 0$. □

Corollary 1.1 (Nakayama's lemma). *Let A be a commutative ring, M a finitely generated A -module and $I \subset J(A)$ an ideal contained in the Jacobson radical of A , if $M = IM$, then $M = 0$.*

Proof. Since $1 - a$ is invertible for any $a \in I$. □

If M is not finitely generated then Krull's Intersection Theorem is not true.

Example 1.1. *Let $M = \mathbb{Q}$ as a \mathbb{Z} module which is infinitely generated and $I = (p)$ for any prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $I\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$ but there is no element $pk \in (p)$ such that $(1 - pk)\mathbb{Q} = 0$ since \mathbb{Q} has no zero divisor.*

2 $\text{Supp}M = V(\text{ann}M)$

Example 2.1. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2^i\mathbb{Z}$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module, then $\text{ann} M = 0$ but $\text{supp} M = (2)$.

3 $\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes B = \text{Hom}_B(M \otimes_A B, N \otimes_A B)$ for B a flat A -module

Let $A \rightarrow B$ a flat ring map, For M a finitely presented A -module, N an A -module, we have $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes B \cong \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_A B, N \otimes_A B)$.

Example 3.1. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}$, $S = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, $A \rightarrow B = \mathbb{Z}_S = \mathbb{Q}$ the localization map. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$ an infinite direct sum of \mathbb{Z} and $N = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \not\cong \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ since $(1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots) \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is not in the localization of $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$ at S .

4 $M \otimes_R \hat{R} = \hat{M}$

Example 4.1. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the ring of p -adic integers, i.e. the completion of \mathbb{Z} at the maximal ideal (p) . Take $M = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ as an infinite direct sum of \mathbb{Z}_p . Then $R = \hat{R}$, thus $M \otimes_R \hat{R} = M$ but M is not complete since the Cauchy sequence $a_n = (p, p^2, \dots, p^n, 0, 0, \dots)$ does not converge in M .

Example 4.2. Some other examples in this post: How to find a non-surjective and non-injective tensor products of the formal completion?

5 Finitely Generated Nil Ideal is Nilpotent

If given a finitely generated nil ideal, then it's clearly nilpotent.

Let $N(R)$ be the nilradical of a commutative ring R , then $N(R)$ is a nil ideal, i.e. every element in $N(R)$ is nilpotent. But the ideal needs not be nilpotent in general, i.e. there is no n such that $N(R)^n = 0$.

Example 5.1 (Zassenhaus's Example). Let F be a field, I the open interval $(0, 1)$ and R a vector space over F with basis $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$. Define a multiplication on F by extending the following product of basis elements

$$x_i x_j = \begin{cases} x_{i+j} & \text{if } i+j < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i+j \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Every element of R can be written uniquely as a finite sum $\sum a_i x_i$, hence every element in R is nilpotent, so $N(R) = R$. But R is not nilpotent.

Remark. In a commutative ring R , the nilradical $N(R)$ equals the set of all nilpotent elements but it needs not be true if R is not commutative.

For example, let R be the ring of 2×2 matrices over \mathbb{Q} . Then R has only two ideal (0) and R . So $N(R) = 0$ but $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = 0$.

6 Finitely Presented Flat Module is Projective

Proposition 6.1. *Every finitely presented free R -module is projective.*

Proof. To show that M is projective, we want to show that $\text{Hom}_R(-, M)$ is exact. Let $B \rightarrow C$ be surjective, let $(-)^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ denote the Pontrjagin dual, then $C^* \rightarrow B^*$ is injective.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (C^*) \otimes_R M & \longrightarrow & (B^*) \otimes_R M \\ \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}(M, C)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(M, B)^* \end{array}$$

Since M is flat, we have that the top arrow is an injection. And the vertical arrows are isomorphisms for finitely presented M (since it holds if M is finite free). This implies the bottom arrow is injective, hence the map $\text{Hom}(M, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, B)$ is surjective. \square

Example 6.1. \mathbb{Q} as a \mathbb{Z} -module is not projective. Otherwise, by Structure Theorem over PID, \mathbb{Q} must be free hence a direct sum of \mathbb{Z} which is not possible.